Outcomes of transplantations of cryopreserved ovarian tissue to 41 women in Denmark
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STUDY QUESTION: What are the results of transplanting cryopreserved ovarian tissue?

SUMMARY ANSWER: The transplanted ovarian tissue can last up to 10 years, with no relapses following the 53 transplantations, and the chance of a successful pregnancy is currently around one in three for those with a pregnancy-wish.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY: Cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is now gaining ground as a valid method for fertility preservation. More than 36 children worldwide have now been born following this procedure.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION: This is a retrospective cohort study of 41 women who had thawed ovarian tissue transplanted 53 times over a period of 10 years, including 1 patient who was lost to follow-up.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS: The 41 Danish women, who had in total 53 transplantations, were followed for ovarian function and fertility outcome. Safety was assessed by monitoring relapse in cancer survivors.

MAIN RESULTS, AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE: Among 32 women with a pregnancy-wish, 10 (31%) had a child/children (14 children in total); this included 1 woman with a third trimester ongoing pregnancy. In addition, two legal abortions and one second trimester miscarriage occurred. A total of 24 clinical pregnancies were established in the 32 women with a pregnancy-wish. The tissue remained functional for close to 10 years in some cases and lasted only a short period in others. Three relapses occurred but were unlikely to be due to the transplanted tissue.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION: Self-report through questionnaires with only in-one hospital formalised follow-up of transplanted patients could result in unreported miscarriages. The longevity of the tissue may vary by few months compared with those reported because some patients simply could not remember the date when the tissue became non-functional.

WIDER IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS: Cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is likely to become integrated into the treatment of young women, with cancer, who run a risk of losing their fertility. The full functional lifespan of grafts is still being evaluated, because many of the transplanted women have continued to maintain ovarian activity. Some of our first cases have had tissue functioning for ≏ 10 years.

STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S): The Child Cancer Foundation in Denmark (2012–26) and the EU interregional project ReproHigh are thanked for having funded this study. They had no role in the study design, collection and analysis of data, data interpretation or writing of the report. The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.
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Introduction

The chances of surviving a cancer disease are considerably better today than just a few decades ago (Tonorezos et al., 2015). Many young patients can now have a realistic hope of living a normal life following recovery (Siegel et al., 2015). This has created an awareness of quality-of-life aspects after cancer treatment, with fertility being an important issue for many girls and young women (Lamar and DeCherney, 2009; Loren...
et al., 2013b). Modern effective treatment regimens often pose a considerable risk of causing infertility, either directly or later on as premature ovarian insufficiency (POI). Therefore, fertility preservation is increasingly becoming an integral part of treating young cancer patients. Freezing of ovarian tissue can be performed on short notice and is often the preferred fertility preservation technique due to an urgent need to commence treatment. Cryopreservation of ovarian tissue includes surgical removal and freezing of tissue prior to commencing treatment. If the cancer treatment renders the patient infertile, transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue may restore fertility (Andersen et al., 2012). However, because fertility preservation is still in its early days and counselling may not be offered to 30–50% of young women diagnosed with cancer, a greater awareness of these new possibilities is still required (Gwede et al., 2012; Goodwin et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2008; Quinn et al., 2009; Loren et al., 2013a; Corney and Swinglehurst, 2014).

The programme of ovarian cryopreservation started in the year 2000 in Denmark following governmental approval, which made the treatment free of costs for patients. Since then, almost 800 patients have had tissue frozen, with a steady annual activity of ∼13–14 cases per million inhabitants per year. Patients are counselled and tissue can be excised at four different centres, three in Denmark and, more recently, one centre in southern Sweden. The tissue is transported (for up to 5 h) to one central laboratory, where preparation and storage of tissue takes places. If the patient requests transplantation, tissue is transported to the local hospital. This model has been termed the Danish model (‘the woman stays—the tissue moves’) and has now been introduced in different countries around the world.

Our programme has performed 533 transplantations to 61 patients during the last 10 years and here we report our collective experience from this 1 centre.

Materials and Methods

Patients

From 2003 until June 2014, a total of 41 patients had received a total of 533 transplantations of frozen/thawed ovarian tissue. The mean age was 29.8 years at the time of cryopreservation and the diagnoses are listed in Table I. All patients who had frozen/thawed ovarian tissue transplanted more than 6 months prior to 1 January 2015 have been included. All but two women had one entire ovary cryopreserved. No gross pathology near or on the ovaries was observed during removal. Information of the first 18 patients has previously been published (Greve et al., 2012b), but the follow-up period is now extended by 3 years.

The Danish programme for cryopreservation of ovarian tissue

The programme was initiated in 1999. The three centres in Denmark and one centre in southern Sweden offer the initial counselling of patients and perform the harvesting of the ovarian tissue. No complications or sequelae have been reported to our centre from any of the hospitals in connection with excision of the tissue.

In this retrospective cohort study, only patients from the three Danish centres are evaluated, since the southern Sweden has only recently joined our programme.

Preparation of tissue for cryopreservation and storage is centralized at one centre and performed as previously described (Rosendahl et al., 2011a). Tissue excised at the hospital where the processing laboratory is located is transported in 37°C medium, whereas tissue from other hospitals is cooled in medium placed on crushed ice. Tissue preparation in ice-cold saline is then performed up to 5 h after excision (Schmidt et al., 2003b; Rosendahl et al., 2008).

The transplantations are currently performed at two of the three Danish hospitals, at Copenhagen University Hospital (Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen) (n = 16) and at Århus University Hospital (Århus) (n = 37). At Århus University Hospital, only one surgeon performs the transplantations, whereas a team of two or three surgeons have performed the transplantations at Rigshospitalet.

The Public Healthcare System in Denmark covers all Danish citizens and is paid through taxes and provides free of charge counselling, excision of tissue, transport, preparation and storage of the cryopreserved tissue, transplantation (more than once) and, if necessary, subsequent IVF treatment.

Guidelines for retrieving ovarian tissue

The clinical guidelines for offering cryopreservation of ovarian tissue are as follows: a risk of POI exceeding ∼50%; age below 35 years (flexible according to AMH level and biological age); a higher than 50% chance of 5-year survival (flexible according to treatment); no disseminated disease; and no contradictions against operation or anaesthesia.

Each patient is counselled by a consultant specialised in fertility preservation at each hospital, who makes an individual evaluation and, in collaboration with patient, decides the most appropriate treatment.

Hormone measurements

The LH and FSH levels were measured at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry at the local hospital according to their standard procedures.

Follicle density prior to freezing

The follicular density was calculated based on histological sections from a sample of ovarian cortex from each individual patient (Tryde Schmidt et al., 2004). Only data on 22 patients were available due to the lack of cortical biopsies collected (n = 10) and the exclusion of patients with no pregnancy-wish (n = 7, including 1 prepubertal girl) and patients who pursued surrogacy pregnancy (n = 2).

Statistics

The statistical analysis included student t-test that was performed in order to compare means between two groups of patients. A P value of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Ethical approval

The Ethical Committee of Copenhagen and Frederiksberg approved the project (H-2-2011-044) and the Minister of Health also approved the procedure. Data were collected from patient records, and the collection and storage of data was approved by the Minister of Health (J.n.o.: 30-1372) and by the Danish Data Protection Agency.

Results

Diagnosis and age of women undergoing ovarian transplantation

Fifty-three transplantations were performed in 41 patients. Eleven women had a second and one woman had a third transplantation performed (Table I). The mean age at freezing was 29.8 years whereas the first grafting was performed at the mean age of 32.9 years. The
Mean ages at the second and third transplantations were 35.4 and 39.8 years (Table I).

The original diagnoses are summarised in Table I; for example, 12 women diagnosed with breast cancer had tissue transplanted, 3 of whom had a second transplantation. Nine of these women had tissue transported prior to freezing.

**Table I** Diagnosis and age of 41 women undergoing transplantation with frozen/thawed ovarian tissue in Denmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. of women</th>
<th>Tissue transported on ice prior to freezing</th>
<th>Cryopreservation</th>
<th>1st transplant</th>
<th>2nd transplant</th>
<th>3rd transplant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>12/3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.9 (26.0–38.7)</td>
<td>36.5 (28.7–43.2)</td>
<td>38.0 (36.7–39.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mb. Hodgkin</td>
<td>5/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.4 (25.6–34.1)</td>
<td>32.0 (28.0–37.3)</td>
<td>32.3 (29.4–36.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin cancer</td>
<td>5/3/1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.1 (25.9–35.1)</td>
<td>33.8 (29.6–37.3)</td>
<td>37.6 (35.4–39.1)</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical cancer</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.8 (21.2–30.7)</td>
<td>29.1 (24.3–32.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplastic anaemia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.3 (24.2–32.3)</td>
<td>33.1 (31.3–35.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewing sarcoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.3 (9.5–27.1)</td>
<td>21.3 (13.8–28.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.2 (19.0–25.4)</td>
<td>25.1 (21.7–28.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35.7 (33.5–37.8)</td>
<td>37.8 (35.9–39.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemolytic uraemic syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anal cancer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various others*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.6 (23.1–30.0)</td>
<td>31.0 (27.9–33.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53 (41/11/1)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.8 (9.5–38.7)</td>
<td>32.9 (13.8–43.2)</td>
<td>35.4 (29.4–39.4)</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Autimmune Small-Vessel Vasculitis; Morbus Behcet; Choriocarcinoma; Wegener’s Granulomatosis.

**Amont of tissue transplanted and transplantation sites**

On average, 45% (i.e. 9.5 pieces of cortical tissue) of one ovary was transplanted the first time with 36% transplanted the second time. Over time, the amount of reimplanted tissue has differed. In the beginning, fewer pieces of cortex were transplanted to women who had a pregnancy-wish compared with today. This change was motivated by the constant low pieces of cortex were transplanted to women who had a pregnancy-wish. In the beginning, fewer women diagnosed with breast cancer had tissue transplanted, 3 of whom had a second transplantation. Nine of these women had tissue transported prior to freezing.

Fifteen patients had ovarian tissue transplanted only to the remaining ovary, 14 had tissue transplanted to the remaining ovary and into a peritoneal pocket, 5 had tissue transplanted into a peritoneal pocket only, 3 had tissue transplanted to the remaining ovary and peritoneal pockets corresponding to the abdominal wall, and 3 had tissue transplanted to all three transplantation sites. One patient only received tissue into the abdominal wall (Supplementary data, Table S3) (Schmidt et al., 2011; Mcklon et al., 2014).

After transplantation, the patients were usually been in regular contact with the fertility clinic for evaluation of function and fertility. Only in one centre did patients follow a formalised follow-up schedule and therefore a questionnaire was sent to patients in January 2015. All patients in the cohort also had information collected from their patient records.

**Fertility outcome of patients with a pregnancy-wish**

Six women had tissue transplanted to avoid menopausal symptoms only and did not want to become pregnant. Two women had originally a hysterectomy performed and required a surrogacy mother. The diagnoses of these women were breast cancer (n = 1), haemolytic uraemic syndrome (n = 1), Hodgkin’s disease (n = 1), cervical cancer (n = 3) (one of these is trying surrogacy) and soft tissue sarcoma (n = 2) (one of these patients had a sarcoma in her uterus and is also trying surrogacy). One Ewing sarcoma patient was a prepertural girl, whom tissue was transplanted to induce puberty (Ernst et al., 2013a; Yding Andersen et al., 2014). The remaining 32 patients expressed a pregnancy-wish (Table II). A total of 42 transplantations have been performed on these 32 women resulting, so far, in 21 women obtaining at least 1 positive pregnancy test (63%). A total of 28 positive hCG tests have been recorded so far and 24 of these have developed to clinical pregnancies with fetal heart beats at Week 7 (Table II), resulting in 13 healthy children (11 singleton pregnancies and 1 twin pregnancy) plus one ongoing singleton third trimester pregnancy. Three abortions occurred, including one miscarriage in gestational week 19 due to premature preterm rupture of membranes (PPROM) and two legal abortions: one because the woman was separating from her partner (Greve et al., 2010) and one because the woman experienced relapse of her breast cancer (Ernst et al., 2013b).

It is well known that radiation to a field that includes the uterus is associated with a range of adverse reproductive outcomes, including...
miscarriage, premature delivery and stillbirth (Hawkins and Smith, 1989; Signorello et al., 2006, 2010; Reulen et al., 2009; Sudour et al., 2010). The second trimester miscarriage, due to PPROM in gestational week 19, occurred to a former colon cancer patient who had received radiation to her pelvis. The remaining seven clinical pregnancies resulted in spontaneous abortions in the first trimester.

Five of the 32 women had low but not absent ovarian function at the time of transplantation. All five of these women have succeeded in becoming pregnant. One had a clinical pregnancy, three has given birth to one ovary, i.e. 11.8 pieces of ovarian tissue was transplanted; for six patients, it has been similar to that of the transplanted patients. The relapse of the Ewing’s sarcoma patient occurred in the hemithorax. All relapses appeared to be unrelated to the transplantation of ovarian tissue (Greve et al., 2010; Rosendahl et al., 2011a; Ernst et al., 2013b). Two of the three patients with relapse are deceased (see Supplementary data Table SI). The relapse rate on the transplanted patients was 7% (3 of 41 patients).

We are aware that some patients with a relapse are not deceased whereas others may, on the other hand, have died of reasons unrelated to their cancer. With this definition, there were 48 deaths among 691 patients when excluding those who had transplantation. The relapse rate of this cohort of patients is 7% (48 of 691 patients) giving a figure similar to that of the transplanted patients.

Altogether, for three patients, it has been 15 patients, it has been 15 years since they had tissue transplanted; for six patients, it has been >8 years and for 15 patients, it has been >5 years, whereas the remaining patients have had tissue transplanted for between half a year and 5 years.

Safety: risk of grafting malignant cells
Three of the 41 transplanted women experienced relapse after transplantation of frozen/thawed tissue. Two relapses occurred locally in the breast of former breast cancer patients. The relapse of the Ewing’s sarcoma patient occurred in the hemithorax. All relapses appeared to be unrelated to the transplantation of ovarian tissue (Greve et al., 2010; Rosendahl et al., 2011a; Ernst et al., 2013b). Two of the three patients with relapse are deceased (see Supplementary data Table SI). The relapse rate on the transplanted patients was 7% (3 of 41 patients).

Data on the relapse rate of women receiving fertility preservation have currently not been recorded. However, data on patients from this cohort are available. In order only to include patients who experienced a relapse, we have included patients who died >2 years after the date of cryopreservation.

Pregnant versus non-pregnant women
On average, 55% of one ovary (i.e. 13.5 pieces of ovarian tissue) was transplanted to women who successfully conceived, which was similar to those who failed (53% of one ovary, i.e. 11.8 pieces of ovarian tissue) (Table III).

There was no significant difference in the age at the time of cryopreservation for women who subsequently did or did not conceive (29.7 versus 30.8 years of age) or at the time of the first transplantation (32.5 versus 34.4 years of age) (Table III).

The follicular density was also not significantly different in cortical tissue from women who did (36 ± 16 follicles/mm² (mean ± SEM), n = 14) or did not conceive (22 ± 9 follicles/mm², n = 7) (Table III).
patients, one who only had three pieces of cortical tissue cryopreserved and one who experienced a relapse of breast cancer, the functional duration of the tissue was <1 year. One woman who was 37 years at the time of cryopreservation did not regain endocrine function.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest series of transplantations of frozen/thawed ovarian tissue performed worldwide. Our data demonstrate that the grafted tissue robustly restores ovarian function. The tissue provides fertility with good efficacy, and our programme has resulted in 13 children plus one ongoing singleton pregnancy so far, showing that at present the pregnancy rate is ~30% among women with a pregnancy-wish. However, the true efficacy requires longer observation time and remains to be determined. Equally important is the safety of transplanting tissue harvested when the woman had cancer. Currently, none of the patients have experienced relapse as a result of having tissue transplanted. The longevity of transplanted tissue is variable, but many patients have so far experienced several years of ovarian function. Additionally, our programme has clearly demonstrated that transport of ovarian tissue for several hours before freezing is feasible and allows for an effective centralised service.

Collectively, cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is becoming an established method for fertility preservation and is likely to become an integrated part of cancer treatment in young patients.

Unlike IVF treatment, where a pregnancy test determines the outcome after 2 weeks, the outcomes of replacing ovarian tissue take a long time to be determined. If the tissue is grafted into the remaining in situ ovary, natural fertility is possible with chances of conception as long as the tissue remains active. Therefore, the success rates increase over time. Furthermore, cancer survivors are different from infertility patients, who often have failed to conceive for a long time and may only later on want to exploit traditional infertility treatment. The difficulty in calculating an exact efficacy rate for fertility comes from the fact that ovarian grafting recreates an organ function including an endocrine function rather than just fertility. Several patients basically want to be normal young women, avoid menopausal symptoms and have menstrual cycles and may only consider conceiving at a later stage. Initially, they may not have a partner or may have been advised against pregnancy. Indeed, our programme included three women with cervical cancer; two of whom underwent hysterectomy. One of these hysterectomised women was attempting to have a child via surrogacy. This aspect obviously further impedes calculating an exact efficacy rate. Moreover, there were two legal abortions in this cohort, which adds to the complexity of calculating a precise efficiency. In addition, five patients had low but not absent ovarian function at the time of transplantation. Thus, it is unknown whether they conceived from the transplanted tissue or from endogenously developed follicles.

All of this makes it impossible to provide an exact pregnancy rate, in contrast with traditional infertility treatment, and one cannot compare the two.

Even though The Danish Cryopreservation Program does not have a formalised follow-up programme, the functional duration of the grafts is accurate within a few months. A formalised programme would have

Table III Parameters with a possible effect on pregnancy outcome (Mean ± SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pregnant</th>
<th>Non-pregnant</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of transplanted tissue from one ovary (per cent)</td>
<td>55 ± 4</td>
<td>53 ± 6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the patient at cryopreservation (years)</td>
<td>29.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>30.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the patient at first transplantation (years)</td>
<td>32.5 ± 1</td>
<td>34.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular density (follicles/mm² cortical tissue)</td>
<td>36 ± 16</td>
<td>22 ± 9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS, non-significant.

Figure 1 Hormone levels of FSH and LH in all patients without ovarian function from before transplantation and through the following weeks.
improved data but many of the women live far from the hospital where the transplantation(s) were performed and are reluctant to spend the time being followed up.

The frequency of failed clinical pregnancies (8 of 24) is higher than expected and is likely to reflect that pregnancy in cancer survivors is more difficult than that in healthy women and emphasizes that these pregnancies should be considered obstetrical high risk pregnancies and thus require appropriate monitoring.

Neither age at cryopreservation, age at first transplantation, the amount of tissue grafted or the follicular density of the grafted tissue proved to predict successful conception. This may reflect the fact that the numbers are still relatively small but may also indicate that cancer survivors are a heterogeneous group of patients in whom more factors than normal influence the outcome.

It is reassuring that the three relapses observed are most likely unrelated to the transplantation of ovarian tissue. Two breast cancer patients had relapses locally to the breast, whereas 80% of the tissue from the Ewing’s sarcoma patient revealed no sign of contamination (Rosendahl et al., 2011a; Ernst et al., 2013b; Yding Andersen et al., 2014). There is currently no method available to detect malignant cell contamination to the ovarian tissue with certainty. A number of methods have been used (grafting to immunodeficient mice (Dolmans et al., 2010, 2013; Greve et al., 2012a, 2013), molecular biological methods and immunohistochemistry (Rosendahl et al., 2010, 2011b, 2013; Bastings et al., 2013; Donnez and Dolmans, 2013)) to assure safety, but none of these methods are completely effective, making the present empirical data more important. There does not appear to be malignant cells present in numbers that can cause relapse. The collective experience worldwide supports our results on safety in most types of cancer, but follow-up on transplanted patients is of utmost importance. We have not yet performed transplants in patients who have suffered from leukaemia since the ovarian tissue may harbour malignant cells in this group of patients (Rosendahl et al., 2011b). However, if the tissue has been collected after the first series of chemotherapy when the patient is in full remission, and if there is an available molecular marker that proves to be negative in a tissue sample, transplantation may be considered (Dolmans et al., 2010; Greve et al., 2012a).

It is also reassuring that the relapse rate with or without transplanted tissue in our cohort is similar, although the frequency in the non-transplanted cohort is only an estimate. It appears that the transplanting ovarian tissue on patients following our selection criteria is safe. Obviously, we have not yet transplanted tissue to former patients who have recovered from leukaemia and this group of patients still is a challenge.

The functional duration of the transplanted tissue is variable. On one hand, two women have now experienced ovarian activity for >10 years with the tissue still being functional and several women have had active tissue for >5 years. On the other hand, a few women experienced activity of less than a year. We have been unable to pinpoint specific parameters of importance for the functional duration of the tissue. One reason for the poor prediction is that the number of follicles transplanted is unknown. The follicular density in individual pieces of cortex may vary with more than three orders of magnitude (Schmidt et al., 2003a), making it impossible to know how many follicles are actually present in the grafted pieces. However, the tissue remains active in the majority of women and it is necessary to wait for menopause to appear in order to provide more accurate estimates on longevity.

It is noticeable that two women became pregnant 5 years after transplantation, showing that the tissue actually maintains fertility even after prolonged periods of time and justifies our policy of retrieving one entire ovary instead of only part of one ovary.

In conclusion, freezing ovarian tissue is now gaining ground as a valid method for fertility restoration and for providing ovarian activity with cycling levels of sex hormones for several years. Out of the 32 women with a pregnancy-wish, 10 have so far managed to have their own children. The level of safety appears to be high, with no relapse due to transplantation of ovarian tissue recorded to date.

Figure 2. Duration of grafts and births/third trimester pregnancies in patients who had frozen/thawed ovarian tissue transplanted. One asterisk depicts patients whose graft(s) has stopped functioning. Two asterisks depict patients who are deceased.
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